

ParaSail: Designing a safe, pervasively parallel language

Tucker Taft AdaCore Inc

February 2014

www.adacore.com www.parasail-lang.org

www.adacore.com



Our Goal: Safe, Simple, Pervasively Parallel Programming

- Why this goal?
 - Deal with the unstoppable shift to multicore, manycore, GPGPU, and/ or cloud computing.
- Restated Goal: Make it easier and more natural to write parallel programs than sequential programs.
- ... and oh by the way, what do we mean by "parallel" programming as opposed to "concurrent" programming?
 - "concurrent" programming constructs allow programmer to simplify by using multiple threads to reflect the natural concurrency in the problem domain – heavier weight constructs OK
 - "parallel" programming constructs allow a programmer to divide and conquer a problem, using multiple threads to work in parallel on independent parts of the problem – constructs need to be light weight both syntactically and at run-time





The ParaSail experiment in simplified parallel programming

• Eliminate global variables

• Operation can only access or update variable state via its parameters

• Eliminate parameter aliasing

Use "hand-off" semantics

• Eliminate explicit threads, lock/unlock, signal/wait

Concurrent objects synchronized automatically

• Eliminate run-time exception handling

- Compile-time checking and propagation of preconditions

• Eliminate pointers

- Adopt notion of "optional" objects that can grow and shrink
- Eliminate global heap with no explicit allocate/free of storage and no garbage collector
 - Replaced by region-based storage management (local heaps)
 - All objects conceptually live in a local stack frame



What ParaSail has left

• Pervasive parallelism

- Parallel by default; it is *easier* to write in parallel than sequentially
- All ParaSail expressions can be evaluated in parallel
 - In expression like "G(X) + H(Y)", G(X) and H(Y) can be evaluated in parallel
 - Applies to *recursive* calls as well (as in Word_Count example)
- Statement executions can be interleaved if no data dependencies unless separated by explicit **then** rather than ";"
- Loop iterations are *unordered* and possibly concurrent unless explicit forward or reverse is specified
- Programmer can express *explicit* parallelism easily using "||" as statement connector, or **concurrent** on loop statement
 - Compiler will complain if any possible data dependencies

• Full object-oriented programming model

- Full class-and-interface-based object-oriented programming
- All modules are generic, but with fully shared compilation model
- Convenient region-based automatic storage management

• Annotations part of the syntax

- pre- and postconditions
- class invariants and value predicates



ParaSail uses Syntactic Sugar to provide extensibility

User-defined indexing

- Any type with **op** "indexing" defined
- Indexing function returns **ref** to component of parameter
- Built-in support for extensible structures, optional elements

• User-defined literals

- Any type with **op** "from_univ" defined from:
 - Univ_Integer (42), Univ_Real (3.141592653589793)
 - Univ_String ("Hitchhiker's Guide"), Univ_Character ('π')
 - Univ_Enumeration (#red)

User-defined ordering

- Define single binary **op** "=?" (pronounced "compare")
- Returns #less, #equal, #greater, #unordered
- Implies "<=", "<", "==", "!=", ">", ">=", "in X..Y", "not in X..Y"



Powerful Parallel Iterators – While loops, tail recursion, and backtracking considered sequential





How do *Iterators* Fit into this Picture?

- Computationally-intensive Programs Typically Build, Analyze, Search, Summarize, and/or Transform Large Data Structures or Large Data Spaces
- *Iterators* encapsulate the process of walking data structures or data spaces
- The biggest *speed-up* from parallelism is provided by *spreading* the processing of a large data structure or data space across multiple processing units
- So...high level iterators that are *amenable* to a *safe*, *parallel interpretation* can be critical to capitalizing on distributed and/or multicore hardware.



Simple Iterator and Sequential Equivalents

```
for I in 1..N loop
    P(I)
end loop
```

```
I := 1; while I <= N loop
    P(I)
    I += 1 // compute next value of I
end loop</pre>
```

```
let LP = lambda (I)
    if I <= N then
        P(I); LP(I+1) // tail recursion
    end if
in LP(1)</pre>
```



```
Linked-list Iterator with Sequential Equivalents
for (node *p = first; p; p = p->next) {
    process(p)
 }
node *p = first; while(p) {
    process(p)
    p = p->next // point to next node
}
let lp = lambda (node *p) {
```

```
if (p) {
    process(p)
    lp(p->next) // tail recursion
  }
} in lp(first)
```



The Trouble with While loops and Tail recursion

+ While loop – pros:

- Universal sequential loop construct; semantics defined simply

- While loop - cons:

- Necessarily updates a global to advance through iteration
- Generally doesn't update global until *after* finishing processing current iteration

+ Tail recursion – pros:

- No need for global variables each loop iteration carries its own copy of loop variable(s)
- Can generalize to walking more complex data structure such as a tree by recursing on multiple subtrees

- Tail recursion - cons:

- Next iteration value not specified until making (tail) recursive call
- Each loop necessarily becomes a separate function

AdaCore

Combine "pros" of Tail Recursion with (parallel) "for" loop

- Parallelism requires each iteration to carry its *own copy* of loop variable(s), like tail recursion
 - For-loop variable treated as local constant of each loop iteration
- For loop syntax allows next iteration value to be specified *before* beginning current iteration
 - rather than at tail-recursion point or end of loop body
 - multiple iterations can be initiated in parallel
- Explicit "continue" statement may be used to handle more complex iteration requirements
 - condition can determine loop-variable values for next iteration(s)
- Explicit "parallel" statement connector allows "continue" statement to be executed in parallel with current iteration
 - rather than *after* the current iteration is complete
- Explicit "exit" or "return" allows easy premature exit



Walking a tree structure with explicit "continue" statements

```
for P => Root while P not null loop
 case P.Kind of
  [#binary] =>
      continue loop with P.Left
   | | continue loop with P.Right
   | Process Binary (P.Data)
  [#unary] =>
      continue loop with P.Operand
   | Process Unary (P.Data)
  [#leaf] =>
      Process Leaf (P)
 end case
end loop
```



Continue statement creates a new iteration – loop is effectively a "bag" of iterations (like a work list)

- Each continue statement in this kind of explicit "value" iterator starts another iteration
 - Iteration is added to "bag" of iterations associated with loop
 - Completes current thread of control
- Can actually "continue" an outer loop
 - Starts a new iteration of the enclosing loop i.e. adds a new iteration to "bag" of outer loop like a work-list
 - Inner loop keeps executing until all of the iterations already in its "bag" (work-list) are complete

Outer_Loop

```
for Board : Chess_Board_State := No_Columns() loop // N-Queens
```

```
for R in 1 .. N concurrent loop
```

if Can_Add_Queen(Board, R) then

if Num_Columns(Board) < N then

continue loop Outer_Loop with Board => Add_Column(Board, R)
else

Solutions |= Add_Column(Board, R)



Short-hand when Simple Binary Tree Iteration

for P => Root then P.Left || P.Right while P not null concurrent loop



// "concurrent" means next iteration(s) start immed.
Process (P.Data)
end loop

// Iterator in a quantified expression, e.g.
// "at least one node has a positive count"
(for some P => Root then P.Left || P.Right
while P not null => P.Count > 0)

// Or flatten binary tree using a vector comprehension:
[for P => Root then P.Left || P.Right
while P not null => P.Data]



Generalize combining construct to provide Map/Reduce

- Expression in <...> gives *initial* value, and is replaced after each computation with result
- Associativity of operation allows parallelism
- Can be easier to comprehend than fold1, foldr, fold11, ...

```
// Compute sum of squares of counts
Sum_Sqrs :=
  (for P => Root then P.Left || P.Right
    while P not null => <0> + P.Count**2)
// Compute max of counts (Max(null, A) == A)
Max_Count :=
  (for P => Root then P.Left || P.Right
    while P not null => Max(<null>, P.Count))
```







- for I in <set> loop ...
- "Set" abstraction must provide "Remove_Any" operation, and may provide "Remove_First" and "Remove_Last" for ordered (**forward** or **reverse**) iteration
- Remove_... operation returns null when set is empty
- *Copy* of set made and then Remove_... destructively empties the set
- User-defined "map" iterator iterates over index set of map
 - for each [K => V] of <map> loop ...
 - "Map" abstraction must provide "Index_Set" and "Indexing" operations.
 - Index_Set returns set of keys of all (non-null) values in Map
 - Indexing returns ref to Value given Key and ref to Map
 - Short hand "for each V of <map> loop ..." uses an anonymous variable for the Key





Examples of "set" and "map" iterators

• Set iterators:

for I in 1..10 forward loop ...
for I in 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 reverse loop ...
for S in Successors(G, N) concurrent loop ...

• Map iterators:

- Compiler automatically generates code to:
 - Copy set (or call Index_Set); bind result of Remove_{First,Last,Any} to loop var/key until null
 - For map, bind V in [K=>V] to "Indexing(map, K)"



Map and Set primitives need not support concurrent access

- Iterations are created by calling Remove_... sequentially
 - once for each iteration until it returns null
- Each iteration carries its own loop-var value(s)
- If "concurrent" loop, next call on Remove_...
 - is performed before doing body of loop and
 - next iteration can then run in parallel
- If non-concurrent loop
 - will wait until body completes before creating next iteration.
- Sequential use of Remove_... even when "concurrent"
 - simplifies creating user-defined iterable abstractions
- "Continue" for map/set iterators simply skips rest of body of loop
 - creates next iteration if not already done.



Iterators can have filters

- Filter specified at end of iterator as boolean expr in {...}
- Only values where filter evaluates #true are included in iteration



Can "continue" outer loop, as in Breadth-First Search of Graph

```
var Seen : Array<Atomic<Boolean>, Node Id> :=
                    [for N in G.All Nodes() => Atomic(#false)]
*Outer*
  for Next Set => Root Node Set loop // specify node-set to search
    for N in Next Set { not Value(Seen[N]) } concurrent loop
      // Check each node in node set, in parallel
      Set(Seen[N], #true) // "benign" race condition
      if not Is Target(G[N]) then
         // Start new iteration of outer loop with successor set
         continue loop Outer with Next Set => G[N].Succs
      else
         // Found a node that satisfies Is Target
         // This "return" will cancel other concurrent threads
         return N
      end if
    end loop
  end loop Outer
  // No node found that satisfies Is Target(...)
  return null
```



Synchronization in ParaSail via Concurrent Objects

- No aliasing, and no concurrent updates allowed when using "normal" ParaSail (sequential) objects
- What to do if multiple writers, or concurrent reading and writing is desired?
 - Can *slice* large container restrict access to subset of indices
 - Can create a **concurrent** object

```
concurrent interface Box<Element is Assignable<>> is
  func Create() -> Box; // Creates an empty box
  func Put(locked var B : Box; E : Element);
  func Get(queued var B : Box) -> Element; // May wait
  func Get_Now(locked B : Box) -> optional Element;
end interface Box;
```

```
type Item_Box is Box<Item>;
var My_Box : Item_Box := Create();
```



Synchronizing ParaSail Parallelism

```
concurrent class Box <Element is Assignable<>> is
    var Content : optional Element; // starts out null
  exports
    func Create() -> Box is // Creates an empty box
      return (Content => null);
    end func Create;
    func Put(locked var B : Box; E : Element) is
     B.Content := E;
    end func Put;
    func Get(queued var B : Box) -> Element is // May wait
    queued until B.Content not null then
     const Result := B.Content;
     B.Content := null;
      return Result;
    end func Get;
    func Get Now(locked B : Box) -> optional Element is
      return B.Content;
    end func Get Now;
end class Box;
```



ParaSail Virtual Machine

- ParaSail Virtual Machine (PSVM) designed for prototype implementations of ParaSail.
- PSVM designed to support "pico" threading with parallel block, parallel call, and parallel wait instructions.
- Heavier-weight "server" threads serve a queue of lightweight pico-threads, each of which represents a sequence of PSVM instructions (parallel block) or a single parallel "call"
 - Similar to Intel's Cilk (and TBB) run-time model with *work stealing*.
- While waiting to be served, a pico-thread needs only a handful of words of memory.
- A single ParaSail program can easily involve 1000's of pico threads.
- **PSVM** instrumented to show degree of parallelism achieved



Example ParaSail Virtual Machine Statistics

Command to execute: stats

Region Statistics:	
New allocations by owner:	7326 = 78%
Re-allocations by owner:	849 = 9%
Total allocations by owner:	8175 = 87%

New allocations by non-owner:	851 =	= 9%
Re-allocations by non-owner:	348 =	3%
Total allocations by non-owner:	1199	= 12%

Total allocations: 9374

	Threading Statistics:
, 0	Num_Dynamically_Allocated_Thread_Servers: 0
	Max_Waiting_Threads (on some server's queue): 25
	Average waiting threads: 12.89
	Max_Active (threads): 4
	Average active threads: 3.76
	Max_Active_Masters : 32
	Max_Subthreads_Per_Master : 16
%	Max_Waiting_For_Subthreads : 29
	Num_Thread_Steals : 210 out of 1097 total thread initiations = 19%



Supporting Formal Methods in the Language

Compile-Time Exception Handling



Why and How to Formalize?

- Assertions help catch bugs sooner rather than later.
- Parallelism makes bugs much more expensive to find and fix.
- ⇒ Integrate assertions (annotations) into the syntax everywhere, as pre/postconditions, invariants, etc.
- ⇒ Compiler disallows potential race-conditions.
- ⇒ Compiler checks assertions, complains if it can't prove the assertions.
- ⇒ Substituting compile-time checking for run-time checking implies better performance, and allows problematic code to be identified earlier



Annotations in ParaSail

- Preconditions, Postconditions, Constraints, etc. all use Hoare-like syntax, such as "{ X != 0 }":
 - func Pop(var S : Stack) {Count(S) > 0}

-> Elem_Type {Count(S') == Count(S) - 1};

- All assertions are checked at compile-time
 - Preconditions can be used to help make assertions provable
 - Compile-time propagation to callers via preconditions
 - => Compile-time exception handling
- Location of assertion determines whether is a:
 - precondition (before ``->")
 - postcondition (after ``->")
 - assertion (between statements)
 - constraint (in type definition)
 - invariant (at top-level of class definition)



Examples of ParaSail Annotations

interface Stack <Component is Assignable<>; Size_Type is Integer<>> is

```
func Max Stack Size(S : Stack) -> Size Type {Max Stack Size > 0};
func Count(S : Stack) -> Size Type
  {Count <= Max Stack Size(S)};
func Create(Max : Size Type {Max > 0}) -> Stack
  {Max Stack Size(Create) == Max; Count(Create) == 0};
func Is Empty(S : Stack) -> Boolean
  {Is Empty == (Count(S) == 0)};
func Is Full(S : Stack) -> Boolean
  {Is Full == (Count(S) == Max Stack Size(S))};
func Push(var S : Stack {not Is Full(S)}; X : Component)
  \{Count(S') == Count(S) + 1\};
func Top(ref S : Stack {not Is Empty(S)}) -> ref Component;
func Pop(var S : Stack {not Is Empty(S)})
  \{Count(S') == Count(S) - 1\};
```

```
end interface Stack;
```



More on Stack Annotations

```
class Stack <Component is Assignable<>; Size Type is Integer<>> is
    const Max Len : Size Type;
    var Cur Len : Size Type {Cur Len in 0..Max Len};
    type Index Type is Size Type {Index Type in 1..Max Len};
    var Data : Array<optional Component, Indexed By => Index Type>;
  exports
    {for all I in 1..Cur Len => Data[I] not null} // invariant for Top()
    . . .
    func Count(S : Stack) -> Size Type
      {Count <= Max Stack Size(S)} is
      return S.Cur Len;
    end func Count;
    func Create(Max : Size Type {Max > 0}) -> Stack
      {Max Stack Size(Create) == Max; Count(Create) == 0} is
      return (Max Len => Max, Cur Len => 0, Data => [.. => null]);
    end func Create;
    func Push(var S : Stack {not Is Full(S)}; X : Component)
      \{Count(S') == Count(S) + 1\} is
      S.Cur Len += 1; 	// requires not Is Full(S) precondition
      S.Data[S.Cur Len] := X; // preserves invariant (see above)
    end func Push;
    func Top(ref S : Stack {not Is Empty(S)}) -> ref Component is
      return S.Data[S.Cur Len];// requires invariant (above) and not Is Empty
    end func Top;
end class Stack;
```



More on ParaSail Annotations

- Can declare annotation-only components and operations inside the "{ ... }"
 - Useful for pseudo-attributes like "taintedness" and states like "properly_initialized".

• Checked at compile-time; no run-time exception handling

- Exceptions don't play well when lots of threads running about
- ParaSail *does* allow a block, loop, or operation to be "abruptly" exited with all but one thread killed off in the process.
 - Can be used by a monitoring thread to terminate a block and initiate some kind of recovery (perhaps due to resource exhaustion):

block

```
Monitor(Resource);
    exit block with Result => null;
//
Do_Work(Resource, Data);
    exit block with Result => Data;
end block;
```



Multiply and Conquer

Searching a Game Tree

Safe Pervasively Parallel Language 31



Hippo Game via "multiply"-and-conquer

• Similar to N-Queens problem

- Place six hippos on game board so each sits flat
- Each hippo has 2 posts, each of length 2 to 5
- Board has 12 holes arranged in 3 rows of 4 each
 - Offset to produce equilateral triangles
- On order of 6 factorial possible hippo arrangements
- Rather than "divide-and-conquer" we use a sort of "multiply" and conquer
 - Conceptually we keep creating more and more game boards, each with a partial solution
 - We hand out the partial solutions to multiple picothreads and have them try to solve rest of puzzle
 - Picothread places one more hippo, and then hands out to yet more picothreads to continue from there
 - First picothread to complete the puzzle kills off all of the others
 - Multiply and conquer means no explicit backtracking



Six Hippos, 12 posts, 12 holes





An incorrect solution





Hippo Game solution – Multiply and conquer (in Parython)

```
def Hippo Game(Graph : Hole Graph; Pieces : Vector<Hippo Piece>)
         -> Game Solution:
           *Outer*
            for (Index = 0;
              Open Holes : Hole Graph.Node Set = All Nodes(Graph);
              Partial Solution : Game Solution = []):
                if Index >= |Pieces|:
Termination
                   return Partial Solution # found a complete solution
condition
                const Piece = Pieces[Index]
                for Long Loc in Open Holes
                 if Graph[Long Loc].Depth >= Piece.Long concurrent:
                   for Short Loc in Successors(Graph, Long Loc)
                    if Short Loc in Open Holes
                     and Graph[Short Loc].Depth >= Piece.Short concurrent:
 Multiply
                      # Found a pair of adjacent open holes that work
  and
                      # Add them into the solution we are building.
Conquer
                      const Next Solution : Game Solution =
                        Partial Solution | {Index : (Long Loc, Short Loc)}
                      # Continue the outer iteration with the next piece
                      continue loop Outer with
                        (Index = Index + 1,
                         Open Holes = Open Holes - {Long Loc, Short Loc},
                         Partial Solution = Next Solution)
```



The (one and only) correct solution





Hippo Game Region and Work-Stealing Statistics

Command to execute: Place_Hippos Piece 4,3 is at 11,21 Piece 5,2 is at 12,13 Piece 5,4 is at 14,24 Piece 4,2 is at 33,22 Piece 5,3 is at 23,34 Piece 3,2 is at 32,31 Command to execute: stats

Region Statistics:New allocations by owner:7326 = 78%Re-allocations by owner:849 = 9%Total allocations by owner:8175 = 87%

New allocations by non-owner: 851 = 9%Re-allocations by non-owner: 348 = 3%Total allocations by non-owner: 1199 = 12%

Total allocations: 9374

Threading Statistics: Num_Initial_Thread_Servers : 3 + 1 Num_Dynamically_Allocated_Thread_Servers : 0 Max_Waiting_Threads (on some server's queue): 25 Average waiting threads: 12.89 Max_Active (threads): 4 Average active threads: 3.76 Max_Active_Masters : 32 Max_Subthreads_Per_Master : 16 Max_Waiting_For_Subthreads : 29 Num_Thread_Steals : 210 out of 1097 total thread initiations = 19%



Summary and Conclusions (and a plug for HILT 2014)



Summary of ParaSail Iterators

- Flexible Iterators simplify walking a large Data Structure or Data Space
 - Sequential equivalents such as while loops and tail recursion do not easily adapt to parallel interpretation
 - Next value not available until end of current iteration
 - Each iteration should carry its "own" loop-var values
- Potential for significant speed-up can be enabled simply through use of higher-level iterators with safe parallel semantics
 - Parallel versions of "continue," "exit," and "return" add to power and flexibility – bag of iterations model – can exit and cancel all others

• Three kinds of iterators

- Explicit "value" iterator Start, Next, While + continue bag of threads
- Set iterator User provides Remove_{Any,First,Last} operation
- Map iterator User provides Index_Set and Indexing operations
- Flexible Map/Reduce construct built using iterator



Summary of ParaSail Annotations

- Incorporating Annotations into syntax allows more complete specification of abstractions
- Preconditions can be used to propagate safety requirements to caller
- Compiler complaints about remaining possible run-time errors inidicates where more annotations are needed
- Effectively provides *compile-time* exception handling; remaining run-time problems handled otherwise:
 - Null values can be used uniformly to signal *no result*
 - Only can happen if function result declared **optional**
 - Separate threads can be used to monitor for unpredictable error situations
 - Resource exhaustion
 - Time-outs



Conclusions

- Simple language can still be powerful
- Can eliminate features which create a sequential *bias*
- Can provide a language in which simple things are simple
- But can still build complex, parallel applications that are inherently safe



HILT 2014 – High Integrity Language Technology – Oct 20-21

- Using *modeling*, *programming*, *verification*, ... languages to support development, testing, and verification of *High Integrity* systems
- *Co-Located* with SPLASH/OOPSLA 2014 in October in Portland, OR, USA, October 20-24
- Join *Program Committee*, and *submit* papers

HILT 2014 SPLASH PORTLAND 2014